

has satisfied the Election Requirement by selecting a group and indicating the relevant claims. Claim amendments will occur by amendment in response to the Office Action. Applicant incorporates the remarks previously presented on 19 June 2008 herein.

In the Official Action, Restriction was required between the three (3) inventions identified in the original application. In particular, restriction was required between the subject matter of Group I, namely Claims 1 - 16, as drawn to a device worn by an animal for detection of mountings among animals; Group II, namely Claim 17, as drawn to a device placed in an organ of a female animal for detections of mountings among animals; and Group III, namely Claims 18 - 20 as drawn to a process of automated detection of mountings among animals.

In reply to the Official Action, Applicant herein elects the subject matter of Group I, namely Claims 1 - 16 as drawn to a device worn by an animal for detection of mountings among animals. Applicant reserves the right to take such other measures as may be appropriate so as to protect the subject matter of the non-elected inventions, such as the filing of divisional applications.

Applicant respectfully contends that an Official Action on the merits is in order and such is respectively requested.

Respectfully submitted,

February 12, 2009

Date

Customer No. 24106

/Andrew W. Chu/
John S. Egbert; Reg. No. 30,627
Andrew W. Chu; Reg. No. 46,625
Egbert Law Offices PLLC
412 Main Street, 7th Floor
Houston, Texas 77002
(713)224-8080
(713)223-4873 fax